PUBLIC MATTER FILED

1 2	STATE BAR OF CALIFORNIA OFFICE OF CHIEF TRIAL COUNSEL GREGORY P. DRESSER, No. 136532 INTERIM CHIEF TRIAL COUNSEL	JUL 06 2016 STATE BAR COURT CLERK'S OFFICE						
3	MELANIE J. LAWRENCE, No. 230102 ASSISTANT CHIEF TRIAL COUNSEL	LOS ANGELES						
4	RIZAMARI C. SITTON, No. 138319 SUPERVISING SENIOR TRIAL COUNSEL							
5	ANAND KUMAR, No. 261592 SENIOR TRIAL COUNSEL							
7	845 South Figueroa Street Los Angeles, California 90017-2515 Telephone: (213) 765-1714							
8								
9	STATE BAR COURT							
10	HEARING DEPARTMENT - LOS ANGELES							
11								
12	In the Matter of:) Case Nos. 15-O-14756, 15-O-15517						
13	EMAHN COUNTS,)) NOTICE OF DISCIPLINARY CHARGES						
14	No. 231368,							
15	A Member of the State Bar.							
16	NOTICE - FA	AILURE TO RESPOND!						
17 18	IF YOU FAIL TO FILE A WRITTEN ANSWER TO THIS NOTICE WITHIN 20 DAYS AFTER SERVICE, OR IF YOU FAIL TO APPEAR AT THE STATE BAR COURT TRIAL:							
19								
20	(1) YOUR DEFAULT WILL BE ENTERED; (2) YOUR STATUS WILL BE CHANGED TO INACTIVE AND YOU WILL NOT BE PERMITTED TO PRACTICE LAW;							
21	(3) YOU WILL NOT BE PERM	IITTED TO PARTICIPATE FURTHER IN NLESS YOU MAKE A TIMELY MOTION						
22	AND THE DEFAULT IS SET	T ASIDE, AND; ECT TO ADDITIONAL DISCIPLINE.						
23	SPECIFICALLY, IF YOU F.	FAIL TO TIMELY MOVE TO SET ASIDE						
24	OR VACATE YOUR DEFAULT, THIS COURT WILL ENTER AN ORDER RECOMMENDING YOUR DISBARMENT WITHOUT FURTHER HEARING OR PROCEEDING. SEE RULE 5.80 ET SEQ.,							
25	RULES OF PROCEDURE O	OF THE STATE BAR OF CALIFORNIA.						
26	///							
27	<i>III</i>	kwiktag° 211 099 006						
28	·							

1 The State Bar of California alleges: 2 JURISDICTION 1. Emahn Counts ("respondent") was admitted to the practice of law in the State of 3 4 California on June 3, 2004, was a member at all times pertinent to these charges, and is currently 5 a member of the State Bar of California. 6 **COUNT ONE** 7 Case No. 15-O-14756 Business and Professions Code section 6106 8 [Moral Turpitude – Wrongful Taking of Funds] 9 2. On or about December 14, 2013, Respondent unilaterally charged, or caused to be 10 charged, his client David Melamed's MasterCard credit card and collected \$3,300, without Melamed's prior knowledge, authorization and consent. Respondent dishonestly or, with gross 11 negligence, wrongfully took the funds for his own purposes, and thereby committed an act 12 involving moral turpitude, dishonesty or corruption in willful violation of Business and 13 14 Professions Code section 6106. 15 **COUNT TWO** 16 Case No. 15-O-14756 Business and Professions Code section 6106 17 [Moral Turpitude – Wrongful Taking of Funds] 18 3. On or about February 10, 2014, Respondent unilaterally charged, or caused to be charged, his client David Melamed's MasterCard credit card and collected \$2,730, without 19 20 Melamed's prior knowledge, authorization and consent. Respondent dishonestly or, with gross negligence, wrongfully took the funds for his own purposes, and thereby committed an act 21 involving moral turpitude, dishonesty or corruption in willful violation of Business and 22 23 Professions Code section 6106. /// 24 25 /// 26 /// 27

COUNT THREE

Case No. 15-O-14756
Business and Professions Code section 6106
[Moral Turpitude – Wrongful Taking of Funds]

4. On or about March 14, 2014, Respondent unilaterally charged, or caused to be charged, his client David Melamed's MasterCard credit card and collected \$2,560, without Melamed's prior knowledge, authorization and consent. Respondent dishonestly or, with gross negligence, wrongfully took the funds for his own purposes, and thereby committed an act involving moral turpitude, dishonesty or corruption in willful violation of Business and Professions Code section 6106.

COUNT FOUR

Case No. 15-O-14756
Business and Professions Code section 6106
[Moral Turpitude – Breach of Fiduciary Duty]

5. On or about July 8, 2013, David Melamed hired Respondent to perform legal services, namely to represent Melamed in a landlord-tenant lawsuit, in the matter entitled *Apple a Day v. West L.A. Medical & Skincare et, al.*, Los Angeles County Superior Court case number BC513678. On or about July 30, 2013, Melamed entrusted Respondent with his credit card information to charge his MasterCard credit card for court costs and for any unpaid and outstanding legal fees, conferring a fiduciary duty upon Respondent to safeguard Melamed's credit card information and appropriately charge Melamed's credit card pursuant to Melamed's limited authorization. Between on or about October 16, 2013, and on or about February 2, 2014, Melamed revoked Respondent's authorization to charge the MasterCard credit card approximately six times. At all relevant times, Respondent had notice of Melamed's revocations. Between on or about December 14, 2013 and on or about March 14, 2014, Respondent repeatedly charged, or caused to be charged, Melamed's MasterCard credit card for his legal fees without Melamed's prior knoweldge, authorization and consent. By repeatedly charging, or causing to be charged, Melamed's MasterCard credit card after Melamed's revocations, Respondent breached a fiduciary duty owed to Melamed, and thereby committed an

act involving moral turpitude, dishonesty or corruption in willful violation of Business and 1 2 Professions Code section 6106. 3 **COUNT FIVE** 4 Case No. 15-O-14756 Rules of Professional Conduct, rule 3-110(A) 5 [Failure to Perform with Competence] 6. On or about July 8, 2013, David Melamed hired Respondent to perform legal 6 services, namely to represent Melamed in a landlord-tenant lawsuit, in the matter entitled Apple a 7 Day v. West L.A. Medical & Skincare et, al., Los Angeles County Superior Court case number 8 9 BC513678, in which Respondent intentionally, recklessly, or repeatedly failed to perform legal services with competence, in willful violation of Rules of Professional Conduct, rule 3-110(A), 10 11 by: a) failing to supervise his non-attorney staff, which resulted in three unauthorized 12 credit card charges to Melamed's MasterCard credit card for Respondent's legal 13 fees between on or about December 14, 2013 and on or about March 14, 2014, 14 b) failing to supervise his non-attorney staff in filing an ex parte motion on or about 15 February 19, 2014 on Melamed's behalf, which included an authorization form 16 with Melamed's credit card information without Melamed's prior knoweldge, 17 authorization and consent, and a simulated signature for Melamed that he 18 19 similarly did not sign or authorize, and c) filing a deficient motion for additional discovery on or about November 8, 2013 20 on Melamed's behalf, which included more than double the allowable requests for 21 interrogatories without the separate statement to justify the discovery request as 22 required by California Rules of Court rule 3.145(a)(2), and which resulted in the 23 24 imposition of sanctions against Melamed. 25 26 ///27

1	<u>COUNT SIX</u>					
2	Case No. 15-O-15517 Business and Professions Code section 6068(m) [Failure to Inform Client of Significant Development]					
4	7. On or about September 2, 2015, Fernando Pereira and Patrica Lopez Pereira hired					
5	Respondent to perform legal services, namely to perform legal research and determine the					
6	validity of their Mechanic's lien renewal by no later than September 9, 2015. On or about					
7	September 9, 2015, Respondent determined that the lien could not be renewed, but failed to					
8	inform his clients of this signficant development by September 9, 2015, and Respondent thereby					
9	failed to keep a client reasonably informed of significant developments in a matter in which					
10	Respondent had agreed to provide legal services, in willful violation of Business and Profession					
11	Code section 6068(m).					
12	NOTICE - INACTIVE ENROLLMENT!					
13	YOU ARE HEREBY FURTHER NOTIFIED THAT IF THE STATE BAR					
14	COURT FINDS, PURSUANT TO BUSINESS AND PROFESSIONS CODE SECTION 6007(c), THAT YOUR CONDUCT POSES A SUBSTANTIAL					
15	THREAT OF HARM TO THE INTERESTS OF YOUR CLIENTS OR TO THE PUBLIC, YOU MAY BE INVOLUNTARILY ENROLLED AS AN					
16	INACTIVE MEMBER OF THE STATE BAR. YOUR INACTIVE ENROLLMENT WOULD BE IN ADDITION TO ANY DISCIPLINE					
17	RECOMMENDED BY THE COURT.					
18	NOTICE - COST ASSESSMENT!					
19	IN THE EVENT THESE PROCEDURES RESULT IN PUBLIC DISCIPLINE, YOU MAY BE SUBJECT TO THE PAYMENT OF COSTS					
19 20	IN THE EVENT THESE PROCEDURES RESULT IN PUBLIC DISCIPLINE, YOU MAY BE SUBJECT TO THE PAYMENT OF COSTS INCURRED BY THE STATE BAR IN THE INVESTIGATION, HEARING AND REVIEW OF THIS MATTER PURSUANT TO BUSINESS AND					
	IN THE EVENT THESE PROCEDURES RESULT IN PUBLIC DISCIPLINE, YOU MAY BE SUBJECT TO THE PAYMENT OF COSTS INCURRED BY THE STATE BAR IN THE INVESTIGATION, HEARING AND REVIEW OF THIS MATTER PURSUANT TO BUSINESS AND PROFESSIONS CODE SECTION 6086.10.					
20	IN THE EVENT THESE PROCEDURES RESULT IN PUBLIC DISCIPLINE, YOU MAY BE SUBJECT TO THE PAYMENT OF COSTS INCURRED BY THE STATE BAR IN THE INVESTIGATION, HEARING AND REVIEW OF THIS MATTER PURSUANT TO BUSINESS AND PROFESSIONS CODE SECTION 6086.10. Respectfully submitted,					
20 21	IN THE EVENT THESE PROCEDURES RESULT IN PUBLIC DISCIPLINE, YOU MAY BE SUBJECT TO THE PAYMENT OF COSTS INCURRED BY THE STATE BAR IN THE INVESTIGATION, HEARING AND REVIEW OF THIS MATTER PURSUANT TO BUSINESS AND PROFESSIONS CODE SECTION 6086.10.					
20 21 22	IN THE EVENT THESE PROCEDURES RESULT IN PUBLIC DISCIPLINE, YOU MAY BE SUBJECT TO THE PAYMENT OF COSTS INCURRED BY THE STATE BAR IN THE INVESTIGATION, HEARING AND REVIEW OF THIS MATTER PURSUANT TO BUSINESS AND PROFESSIONS CODE SECTION 6086.10. Respectfully submitted, THE STATE BAR OF CALIFORNIA					
20 21 22 23	IN THE EVENT THESE PROCEDURES RESULT IN PUBLIC DISCIPLINE, YOU MAY BE SUBJECT TO THE PAYMENT OF COSTS INCURRED BY THE STATE BAR IN THE INVESTIGATION, HEARING AND REVIEW OF THIS MATTER PURSUANT TO BUSINESS AND PROFESSIONS CODE SECTION 6086.10. Respectfully submitted, THE STATE BAR OF CALIFORNIA OFFICE OF CHIEF TRIAL COUNSEL					
20 21 22 23 24	IN THE EVENT THESE PROCEDURES RESULT IN PUBLIC DISCIPLINE, YOU MAY BE SUBJECT TO THE PAYMENT OF COSTS INCURRED BY THE STATE BAR IN THE INVESTIGATION, HEARING AND REVIEW OF THIS MATTER PURSUANT TO BUSINESS AND PROFESSIONS CODE SECTION 6086.10. Respectfully submitted, THE STATE BAR OF CALIFORNIA					

DECLARATION OF SERVICE

by
U.S. FIRST-CLASS MAIL / U.S. CERTIFIED MAIL / OVERNIGHT DELIVERY / FACSIMILE-ELECTRONIC TRANSMISSION

CASE NUMBER(s): 15-O-14756, 15-O-15517

Califomi		e age of eighteen (18) years and not a party to the within action os Angeles, California 90017-2515, declare that:	n, whose business address and pl	ace of employment is the State Bar of				
	- on the date shown below, I	caused to be served a true copy of the within document descri	bed as follows:	į				
NOTICE OF DISCIPLINARY CHARGES								
	By U.S. First-Class Mail: - in accordance with the practice of Los Angeles.	(CCP §§ 1013 and 1013(a)) ctice of the State Bar of California for collection and processing	By U.S. Certified Mail: of mail, I deposited or placed for	(CCP §§ 1013 and 1013(a)) collection and mailing in the City and County				
	By Overnight Delivery: (6 - I am readily familiar with th	delivery by the United Parcel Service ('UPS').						
	By Fax Transmission: (CCP §§ 1013(e) and 1013(f)) Based on agreement of the parties to accept service by fax transmission, I faxed the documents to the persons at the fax numbers listed herein below. No error was reported by the fax machine that I used. The original record of the fax transmission is retained on file and available upon request.							
	By Electronic Service: (CCP § 1010.6) Based on a court order or an agreement of the parties to accept service by electronic transmission, I caused the documents to be sent to the person(s) at the electronic addresses listed herein below. I did not receive, within a reasonable time after the transmission, any electronic message or other indication that the transmission was unsuccessful.							
	(for U.S. First-Class Mail) in a	a sealed envelope placed for collection and mailing at Lo	os Angeles, addressed to: (se	e below)				
	(for certified mail) in a sealed envelope placed for collection and mailing as certified mail, return receipt requested, Article Nos.: (1) 7196-9008-9111-1008-3268 at Los Angeles, addressed to: (see below) (2) 7196-9008-9111-1008-3275							
	(for Overnight Delivery) toge: Tracking No.:	ther with a copy of this declaration, in an envelope, or pa add	ackage designated by UPS, ressed to: (see below)	£ :				
	Person Served	Business-Residential Address	Fax Number	Courtesy Copy to				
(1)	Emahn Counts	70 S. Lake Ave., 10 th Fl. Pasadena, CA 91101	Electronic Address					
(2)	Edward O. Lear	Century Law Group LLP 5200 W. Century Blvd., #345 Los Angeles, CA 90045						
overnight	delivery by the United Parcel S	ate Bar of California's practice for collection and processing of Service ('UPS'). In the ordinary course of the State Bar of California States Postal Service that same day, and for overnight do	ornia's practice, correspondence o	collected and processed by the State Bar of				
after date	I am aware that on motion of the of deposit for mailing containe	ne party served, service is presumed invalid if postal cancellation in the affidavit.	n date or postage meter date on t	he envelope or package is more than one day				
	I declare under penalty of particles, on the date shown below.	erjury, under the laws of the State of California, that the	foregoing is true and correct.	Executed at Los Angeles,				
DATE	D: July 6, 2016	Signed:	Cathe Valacios					
		Dec	clarant					